



AVIATION

FORWARDING & LOGISTICS

RAIL

POSTAL & EXPRESS DELIVERY

OEMs, SUPPLIERS, SERVICE PROVIDERS

Airline hub optimization – Screening bank structures to boost hub performance

Dr. Markus Franke, Founder and Owner of FATC

G.A.R.S Workshop prior to European Aviation Conference

Amsterdam, November 05, 2014




FATC serves leading clients across all transportation modes

Selected clients


Aviation	Passenger transport	
	Airports & ANSPs	
	Suppliers, investors, public authorities	
	Air Cargo	
Postal & Express delivery		
Forwarding & Logistics		
Rail operators		
Others (e.g., service providers)		

Hub performance is determined by its bank structure – impact on connectivity, fleet productivity, and operational stability




Source: Analyses FATC, M2P Consulting


The bank structure of a hub determines the timing and connectivity of inbound and outbound flights – both for short- and long-haul traffic



- OS-VIE
- Clearly structured 7 bank model
- Small banks, no overlap




- US-PHX
- Rapid banking
- High peaks, constant capacity utilization



- TK-IST
- Random hubbing
- No overall structure maximizing utilization

Source: Analyses FATC, M2P Consulting

The bank structure of a hub influences main performance indicators




Source: Analyses FATC & M2P Consulting

Ideal connectivity (no MaxCT, no weighting of hits) drops with increasing number of banks

Ideal connectivity (no MaxCT, no weighting of hits)


Total number of hits



Source: Analyses FATC and M2P Note: Banks assumed to resemble a sinus function, no overlap between inbound and outbound banks

Real connectivity (with MaxCT) has a maximum, moving to the left with growing MaxCT...


Real connectivity (with MaxCT, no weighting of hits, smoothed curves)



Source: Analyses FATC and M2P Remarks: 1) Relative to ideal maximum number of hits (= inbound flights x outbound flights)


...converging towards the no-MaxCT curve for further increasing MaxCT

Real connectivity (with MaxCT, no weighting of hits, smoothed curves)



Source: Analyses FATC and M2P Remarks: 1) Relative to ideal maximum number of hits (= inbound flights x outbound flights)

A reverse perspective on network planning may add value by analyzing the effects of a hub structure change on network and fleet




Source: FATC & M2P

FATC and M2P have developed the „Meta Model“, illustrating the interdependency of hub size, local pax share, and favorable bank number

Local passenger share of hub carrier²

Conceptual



- The Meta-Modell represents a high level top down heuristic illustrating the quality of a bank structure for hubs
- Special effects such as separate Intercont banks or special banks for local pax were not considered
- For medium sized hubs (10...30 M pax of hub carrier), the heuristic indicates optimal bank structures for cont. operations between 5 and 8 banks
- The heuristic cannot be applied for mega hubs on the far right of the framework

Notes: 1) Number of actually operated banks 2) Local pax share estimated 3) Overlapping megabanks


Source: Analysis FATC & M2P, Schedule data 2013

Basic assumption: favourable number of banks increases with overall size (in terms of PAX) of hub...

Backup

Ideal number of banks

Share of local PAX of hub carrier in his hub [Mn / year]




PAX number of hub carrier in his hub [Mn / year]

...while it drops with growing share of local passengers


Backup

Ideal number of banks

PAX of hub carrier in his hub [Mn / year]



Hub-specific drivers determine the favourable number of banks – the Meta Model suggest a number plus a certain range



Fundamental (Meta) drivers

- Size (pax / fleet)
- Local pax share

Other main drivers

- **Geographic location**
- **Destination portfolio**
 - Shape of continental “catchment” area
 - Distribution / spread of distances and roundtrip times
 - Directionality of traffic flows
- **Business model and market approach**
 - Frequency patterns, time-of-day pref.
 - Intercontinental share
 - Business pax share
 - Differentiation / competitive positioning
- **Operational restrictions**
 - Airport infrastructure capacity, slots
 - Operating hours / night curfew
- **Planning philosophy / paradigm**
 - Rotational patterns, bank design

Source: Analyses FATC, M2P Consulting

The destination portfolio and network structure of an airline are a crucial input for the design of the hub structure

Example



- Number and geographic position of continental destinations, frequency offered
- Number and geographic position of intercontinental destinations, frequency offered
- Deployed fleet
- Average roundtrip time per destination

Source: Analysis FATC & M2P

Analytical approach of FATC and M2P accounts for generic and hub-specific drivers – multiple perspectives to identify overall optimum

Analytical approach

Bank Structure

Until SS2010, OS operated a 6-bank structure - which was changed as a result of the Austrian Next Gen (ANG) project

Source: Analysis FATC & M2P OS-CN
Page 4

Hub Drivers

The „Meta Model“ illustrates the dependency of hub size, local pax share, and favourable number of banks

Source: Analysis FATC & M2P OS-CN
Page 4

Rotational Patterns

7-bank structure evolves from 3 main types of eastbound round trips, plus the requirement to connect between East and West

Source: Analysis FATC & M2P OS-CN
Page 4

Connectivity

Second inbound bank with highest connectivity – afternoon bank with lowest hit rate

Source: Analysis FATC & M2P OS-CN
Page 4

Operational Stability

Upscaling VIE to LH-MUC size leaves hit curve mainly unchanged, but creates much higher peaks at 50 min and 75 min with up to 1,800 hits

Source: Analysis FATC & M2P Consulting OS-CN
Page 4

Directionality

VIE with clear Northwest to Southeast directionality – MUC owns sizeable domestic base load

Source: Analysis FATC & M2P Consulting OS-CN
Page 4

Balance of hits

Connectivity between Western and Eastern Europe unbalanced with 5-bank scenario – other hit balances are similar to 7-bank structure

Source: Analysis FATC & M2P Consulting OS-CN
Page 4

Capacity constraints


Echo apron phasing not confirmed

Source: Analysis FATC & M2P Consulting OS-CN
Page 4

Source: Analysis FATC & M2P

“Wave stacker” tool developed to visualize bank structure and to formulate first hypotheses on weak spots


Inbound and outbound flights for sample day Summer2014, UTC



Source: Analyses FATC & M2P Consulting

Second inbound bank with highest connectivity – afternoon bank with lowest hit rate

Analysis example: connectivity




- Morning inbound bank starting at 08:30LT covering cont. and intercontinental connections
- Early afternoon bank with an average hit rate far below average hit rate
- Early morning bank and night bank with typical reversed connectivity characteristics due to local pax traffic

Source: Analyses FATC & M2P Consulting

Hub 1 and 2 with clear directionality – Hub 3 owns sizeable domestic base load

Analysis example: directionality



- Flight pattern of **Hub 1** is **highly directional** - particularly from the Northwest to the Southeast
- **Hub 1** with highest share of short-haul destinations; these destinations are not counted since they are too close to the hub to state directionality
- Less directionality for **Hub 2**, possibly due to higher **local market share**
- The sheer **size** of **Hub 3** results in large traffic flows in all directions
- Most **traffic** of **Hub 3** **towards the North** and Northwest due to domestic connections

Remarks: Directionality pattern based on frequencies of hub carrier (without codeshare).
 Source: FATC & M2P Consulting, artificial sample week Summer 2014

Benchmark: size proves to be main connectivity driver - specific hub conditions also impact performance

Analysis example: benchmarking

Hub (Fleet ¹)	Bank structure ²	Connectivity [hits / inbound]	Productivity ³ [avg. BLHs per day]	Findings
Airline / Hub 1 (11/59)		28	13.2 / 8.7	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2 local pax banks, 4 connex banks, 1 sub bank • Bank structure customised to asymmetric block hours to destinations • Night stops to feed intercontinental outbound
Airline / Hub 2 (26/91)		40	13.6 / 8.4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 6 banks, large overlap • Priority on optimisation of commercially important connections, all other aspects follow • Domestic shuttle flights
Airline / Hub 3 (28/51)		27	15.5 / 8.6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 6 banks, medium overlap • Focus on intercontinental feed / defeed • Small continental destination portfolio
Airline / Hub 4 (11/128)		19	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 6 banks, large overlap • Night stops due to small local market • High number of short haul shuttle flights
Airline / Hub 5 (5/31)		13	n/a	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not a full-size hub • Half the size of critical mass (estimate) • Domestic feed / de-feed drives complexity

Remarks: 1) Fleet size for SS2014, Wide- and Narrowbody; 2) 30 minutes clustering; 3) 2013 numbers: widebody / narrowbody
 Source: Analysis FATC & M2P

Hub screening and bank structure analysis leads to four major results

Product	Content	Result / Benefit
<p>Performance analysis</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Analyze and compare 'effectiveness' of an airline's number of banks 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Transparency and visibility of improvement opportunities
<p>Hub driver analysis</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assess hub drivers and influence on hub structure Compare current hub structure with driver characteristics 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alignment of hub structure with business model, fleet development and external influences
<p>Scenario comparison</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Validate or provide alternative bank structures including route candidates for addition to / removal from network 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increased connectivity Quantified comparison
<p>Benchmark study</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Conduct performance analysis within agreed parameter framework Derive lessons-learned and differences 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alignment of hub structure with business model, fleet development and external influences

Source: Analyses FATC & M2P Consulting

**Thank you very much for
your attention!**

Contact data

Dr. Markus Franke
Owner



**Franke Aviation &
Transportation Consulting**
Von-Stauffenberg-Str. 10
D-41352 Korschenbroich
+49 175 2905004 (mob.)
+49 2161 997130 (Fax)

franke@franke-aviation.com